



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/074,939 02/13/2002 Noriyuki Kawaguchi FUSA 19. 444 8889

7590 06/18/2004

Rosenman & Colin LLP 575 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022-2585

EXA	EXAMINER				
KIM, KEVIN					
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
2634	11				

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· •						
Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/074,9	39	KAWAGUCHI ET AL.		
		Examine	r	Art Unit		
	Kevin Y ł	Kim	2634			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 11 February 20	004.			
·						
3)□						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 33 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.2.17 and 18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 3-16 and 19-32 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	ıt(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 🔲 Infor						

Application/Control Number: 10/074,939

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 11, 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1,2,17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over an admitted prior art in view of Sugimoto et al (US 6,661,835) and Hanson et al (US 6,526,2780).

Application/Control Number: 10/074,939

Art Unit: 2634

The admitted prior art, depicted in Fig. 31 and described at pages 5-7, shows a CDMA receiver for applying despread processing (7) to multipath signals, applying synchronous detection processing (8) to the despread signals, combining the detection signals (6b) and discriminating the received data (6c) on the basis of the combined signal. Further, the CDMA receiver further includes a weighing unit (page 6, lines 6-9). But the admitted prior art fails to teach the use of the weighting unit to applying "weighting by multiplying the output signal by a weighting coefficient the value of which is smaller than 1" as recited in claim 1 and "weighting" as recited inc claim 17, when a signal component on a path is below a set level. In other words, according to the admitted prior art, invalid signals, i.e., signals whose power is less than a minimum are not excluded from weighting. Sugimoto et al teaches not adding the output of a finger in a Rake receiver when its level is below a threshold, see col. 18, lines 54-56, to remove the influence of weaker signals in the combined output. Further, Hanson et al teaches set a weighting factor to zero when one of signals to be combined is less then a threshold. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply a weighting coefficient of zero, which is less than 1, as conforming to invalid path signals, i.e., signals whose components are less than a minimum level for the purpose of deleting weaker signals from being added as taught by Sugimoto et al and Hanson et al.

Regarding claims 2 and 18 reciting the weighting coefficient to be 1 if the signal component is greater than a set level, in accordance with the Sugimoto et al's teaching of not adding the output of a finger in a Rake receiver when its level is below a threshold implies that signals greater than the threshold are added, i.e., given a weighting coefficient of 1 as taught by Hanson et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,939

Art Unit: 2634

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

5. Claims 3-16, 19-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

6. Claim 33 is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kevin Y Kim whose telephone number is 703-305-4082. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8AM -- 5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 703-305-4714. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

kvk

/ STEPHEN CHIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600